Assessing data quality in long-term Canadian ozone sounding records

D.W. Tarasick¹, R.M. Stauffer³, H.G.J. Smit², A.M. Thompson³, J. Davies¹, R. Van Malderen⁴, B.J. Johnson⁵ and H. Vömel⁶

15th Quadrennial iCACGP Symposium & 17th IGAC Science Conference 10 - 15 September 2022, Manchester, UK

The importance of long-term ozonesonde records as a stable reference has led to increased attention to quantifying uncertainties and changes in ozonesonde data. The recent Assessment of Standard Operating Procedures for Ozone Sondes (ASOPOS 2.0; WMO/GAW Report #268) recommended that homogeneity and long-term stability in ozone sounding network time series be regularly evaluated by comparison with satellite sensors, as well as ground-based photometers.

An abrupt change in ozone bias relative to several satellite sensors -- a total column ozone (TCO) "dropoff" of about 2-3% - has been reported at number of ozonesonde stations (Stauffer et al., 2020), including Canadian stations. The dropoff affects stratospheric measurements from the EnSci ozonesonde, after 2013 (approximately serial number 26000). The Canadian network recently switched to Science Pump sondes (after approximately serial number 32000), and this has reversed the dropoff, and approximately restored agreement with satellite sensors.

¹Environment and Climate Change Canada, 4905 Dufferin Street, Downsview, ON, M3H 5T4 Canada ²Institute for Energy and Climate Research: Troposphere (IEK-8), Research Centre Juelich (FZJ), Juelich, Germany. ³NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, Maryland, USA ⁴Royal Meteorological Institute of Belgium, Brussels, Belgium ⁵NOAA/ESRL Global Monitoring Division, Boulder, Colorado, USA ⁶National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, Colorado, USA

Figures 1-3: "Dropoff" differences, and average changes with switch to Science Pump sondes at Edmonton (Stn021). Manufacturing batch averages also shown.











Instrument Serial Number (000's)



pump or motor changes, at Edmonton (left) and Alert (right).

Discussion: While the data show some apparent differences between manufacturing batches, the more consistent differences are with the "dropoff", and the switch to Science Pump sondes (thick solid black lines, confidence intervals dashed). The ozone concentration differences increase at lower pressures, suggesting a pump-related issue. Some pump and/or pump motor-related parameters show evidence of systematic changes at the same time, and the manufacturer is known to have changed the pump motor circa 2013. Correlations of these parameters with satellite differences between individual flights is not strong, as flight-to-flight variability dominates, but correlation of station averages is much better.





It is not standard practice to calibrate individual ozonesonde pumps before launch, as this is difficult and labour-intensive; rather an average pump calibration is used in data processing. Recently, an analysis of an extensive record of individual EnSci pump calibrations made since 2009 (Nakano and Morofuji, 2022) has shown a small negative shift in the lowpressure pump correction, equal to 2% at 20 hPa and 4% at 10 hPa. This agrees very well with the average differences found with MLS at 31 hPa and 10 hPa, indicated in Table 1 (green highlighting). While further work is needed, this suggests that a correction curve could be derived from the average changes with respect to MLS, and if that curve is consistent with, and therefore justified by the independent Nakano and Morofuji results, it could be used as a transfer function, and if applied to the sonde data after ~SN 26000 would fix the TCO drop at Canadian stations.

Station	GOME2a	GOME2b OMI O	MPS MLS100	MLS31 MLS	10 Residu	ual iB2 t10	0 tpauseHT	burstHT F	lighttime R	iseRate V	MR_700 VI	/IR_500 VN	1R_100 V	'MR_31 V	MR_10 VM	1R_burst	pumpT_launch T	launch d	T_launch	dT_burst d	IT_10 dT_3	1 dT_100 d	T_500	dT_700	T_700 T_	_500 T_1	00 T_31 T_	_10 pumpT_7	00 pum	pT_500 pum	pT_100 pu	mpT_31 pun	∩pT_10 pum	.pT_burst
STN018	0.6	0.1 -0.5	0.9 1.	2 <mark>-3.8</mark> -	4.6 -13	3.4 -26.2 1	.5 2.9	-5.1	7.0	-11.9	2.1	-1.1	-6.5	-2.8	-7.5	-15.7	4.1	1.5	2.7	-1.9	-8.0 -5	<mark>.7</mark> -0.6	-1.1	-0.7	0.5	1.0 <mark>-</mark>	<mark>1.5</mark> 1.3	1.7	3.4	3.0	3.4	-0.2	-2.3	2.3
STN077	-7.0	-5.7 -6.4	<mark>-5.0</mark> 0.	1 <mark>-6.0</mark> -	9.1 -12	<mark>2.7</mark> 2.5 -0	.2 2.6	-0.7	-3.0	2.3	-5.7	-9.1	-10.4	-10.0	-13.7	-14.3	-0.4	2.5	-2.7	0.0	-0.7 -0	.3 -0.8	-0.5	-0.2	0.8	1.2 -	0.6 -1.0	1.0 -	0.7	-1.0	-1.4	-1.1	-1.9	-0.8
STN021	-0.1	-1.0 -1.6	<mark>-1.8</mark> 0.	0 <mark>-1.8</mark> -	4.0 -13	3.9 22.1 3	<mark>.2</mark> 0.3	-0.7	4.6	-5.0	0.9	-0.7	-0.2	-3.2	-6.7	-10.1	1.0	-0.1	1.1	-1.1	-0.3 0	.3 -2.5	-1.5	-0.7	0.2	0.1 -	0.3 -0.8	-0.5	0.4	-0.5	-1.4	1.2	0.6	-0.1
STN315	0.5	1.1 -1.2	-0.1 2.	<mark>2 -1.5 -</mark>	• <mark>3.1</mark> -3	3.4 <mark>-30.8</mark> 2	<mark>.5</mark> -1.3	-9.3	-7.4	-3.8	-2.7	-1.8	-2.9	0.3	-7.3	-19.8	0.6	2.4	-1.8	3.7	2.7 3	<mark>.1</mark> 1.3	-0.9	-0.7	-0.3	-0.2 -	0.5 2.7	4.8 -	0.1	-0.2	1.8	3.2	3.4	4.3
STN076	-1.01	-2.9 -1.4	<mark>-2.4</mark> 1.	6 <mark>-2.2</mark> -	6.4 -5	5.3 -14.2 1	<mark>.3</mark> -1.6	-0.3	-2.9	2.6	-2.1	-5.9	1.1	-3.0	-7.6	-6.9	3.9	1.1	2.9	-3.6	-3.6 -3	.4 -2.8	-1.2	-0.7	0.2	0.5 -	0.2 -0.2	-0.6	3.1	2.6	0.9	0.0	-0.2	0.1
STN457	-1.1	-1.2 -1.2	-0.9 3.	<mark>6 -0.2 -</mark>	<mark>.5.8</mark> 5	5.9 <mark>16.9</mark> 1	<mark>.6</mark> -1.0	-2.9	-8.3	5.7	-1.7	-0.4	-2.1	-3.0	-2.7	-5.7	-1.2	-1.3	0.2	-0.6	0.0 -1	.5 -1.8	-1.4	-0.6	0.1	-0.3	0.4 -0.4	0.1 -	1.8	-2.6	-3.0	-2.7	-0.8	-1.8
STN024	-3.4	-3.2 -2.2	<mark>-2.2</mark> -1.	2 -2.6	2.4 -11	<mark>1.3</mark> 6.9 1	<mark>.8</mark> -0.3	-6.4	-6.4	-0.7	-3.6	-0.6	-4.0	-3.2	-1.5	-13.6	2.5	0.7	1.8	-1.6	-5.6 -4	.8 -3.0	1.0	0.7	0.3	0.4 -	1.2 -1.2	2.5	3.2	3.5	-0.8	-2.5	<mark>-1.8</mark>	0.8
STN458	-2.0	-1.8 -3.9	<mark>-2.5</mark> -1.	0 -1.3 -	-3.9 -21	<mark>1.2</mark> 1.6 2	<mark>.0</mark> -0.2	4.5	0.7	3.7	-1.1	-0.3	-6.3	-4.6	-4.7	-5.2	1.0	0.2	0.9	0.9	1.2 1	<mark>.2</mark> 0.2	-0.8	-0.4	-0.1	0.0	0.1 <mark>-0.6</mark>	0.6	0.5	0.2	1.1	2.2	2.2	1.9

Mean -1.7 -1.8 -2.3	3 -1.7 0.8 -2.4 -4.3 1.7		0.6 -0.5 -1.8 -1.4 -1.3	-0.8 -0.4	

Table 1: Percent changes in some recorded ancillary data after serial number 26000. Highlighted differences indicate that means before and after dropoff are different at 95% confidence.

Note: OMI = difference from collocated OMI total ozone measurement; MLS31 = difference from collocated MLS measurement at 31 hPa; Residual = Constant mixing ratio method; iB2 = prelaunch background current; t100 = prelaunch time to pump 100 ml; VMR= volume mixing ratio; dT_launch= difference of internal (pump) temperature at launch; dT_burst= pump temperature change from launch to burst, similarly for dT_10, etc.; T 700= external air temperature at 700 hPa, etc.

Station GC	ME2a	iome2b omi omp	S MLS10	0 MLS	531 MLS10 R	Residual iB	32 t100 tj	pauseHT b	ourstHT Fl	ighttime Ri	seRate V	MR_700 VN	1R_500 VIV	IR_100 VN	/IR_31 VN	1R_10 V	MR_burst	pumpT_launch T	_launch d	T_launch	dT_burs	t dT_10	dT_31 d ⁻	T_100 d	Г_500 d1	_700 T_	_700 T_	500 T_1	00 T_31	T_10 pun	npT_700 pun	pT_500 p	pumpT_100 pur	mpT_31 r	pumpT_10 pun	hpT_burst
STN018		1.4 -0	.4 -0).5	4.5 3.3	2.6 <mark>-</mark>	<mark>79.2</mark> -6.0	-4.6	2.3	-18.5	27.1	-3.1	0.4	<mark>8.3</mark>	5.9	6.0	11.5	3.8	-0.6	4.3	3 5.	.1 10.0	6.5	3.8	3.2	1.7	0.7	0.1	0.0 <mark>-2.(</mark>) -1.7	5.5	7.1	7.9	8.8	12.4	8.8
STN077	9.1	6.2 8.8 8	<mark>.9</mark> 1	L.5	4.3 10.2	11.0 -	<mark>53.9</mark> -1.7	-1.8	3.4	-0.8	3.9	11.0	15.0	23.9	12.6	14.4	23.1	-0.2	-1.0	0.8	8 <mark>3.</mark>	.9 4.3	3.7	3.1	0.1	-0.5	0.0	-0.5	0.0 -0.7	7 -2.8	-0.7	-0.2	2.7	3.1	4.1	3.7
STN021	3.4	4.6 2.8 3	.2 1	L.7	3.1 4.8	2.2 <mark>-</mark>	<mark>79.6</mark> -7.3	-3.5	1.3	-14.6	16.2	0.2	-1.3	0.2	6.4	7.7	5.9	0.4	-0.9	1.3	3 <mark>8.</mark>	.7 5.9	5.3	6.6	2.2	0.7	0.2	0.2	0.2 -0.2	L -0.7	1.1	2.5	7.4	5.8	5.9	9.1
STN315	2.5	-2.3 1.5 1	<mark>.5</mark> -C).9	2.0 4.0	-0.4 -	20.9 <mark>-7.8</mark>	-25.7	13.1	4.9	6.7	-3.4	0.8	10.3	7.6	8.6	31.0	0.3	0.0	0.3	3 0.	.7 <mark>1.5</mark>	1.1	1.8	3.2	2.0	1.3	<mark>1.4</mark>	0.0 <mark>-2.6</mark>	5 -4.5	2.3	3.5	2.1	1.6	1.7	1.0
STN076		5.1 3.0 5	<mark>.4</mark> 1	L.1	3.8 8.4	1.4 -	89.5 -7.8	4.4	2.4	-0.1	2.6	1.8	5.1	-2.4	7.4	12.1	10.9	-3.5	1.7	-5.2	2 7.	.8 7.2	7.7	6.3	2.3	1.2	1.6	1.7 -	0.5 <mark>-1.7</mark>	7 -2.8	-2.3	-1.2	2.8	4.4	4.6	4.3
STN457																																				
STN024			-1	L.6	-1.9	<mark>68.8</mark>	13.8 <mark>-3.7</mark>	2.9	-19.0	-22.2	7.4	5.8	1.5	14.0	10.0		-27.6	-2.1	0.6	-2.8	3 4.	.2	-2.0	4.2	4.5	2.9	3.1	0.9 -	2.3 -0.7	7	0.8	2.3	3.1	-3.9		2.1
STN458	2.0	1.4 1.3 1	. <mark>3</mark> -C).7	0.3 3.5	6.0 -	84.8 -8.2	-1.1	-1.0	-3.3	2.1	-1.4	-4.8	4.7	5.6	7.3	5.6	0.4	0.4	0.0) <mark>7</mark> .	.5 6.3	6.5	5.9	2.8	1.6	-0.1	0.1	0.4 0.9	-0.4	2.0	3.2	6.3	6.8	6.2	7.9
Mean	4.3	3.0 3.1 3	.3 0).1	2.3 5.7		-6.1														5.	.4 5.9	4.1	4.5	2.6	1.4										
							· ·																						•				· · ·			

Table 2: Percent changes in some recorded ancillary data after serial number 32000. Highlighted differences indicate that means before and after switch to Science Pump sondes are different at 95% confidence.

Nakano, T. & Morofuji, T. (2022). Development of an automated pump efficiency measuring system for ozonesonde utilizing the airbag type flowmeter, EGUsphere [preprint], https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2022-565.

Environment and Environnement et Climate Change Canada Changement climatique Canada Stauffer, R. M., et al. (2020). A post-2013 dropoff in total ozone at a third of global ozonesonde stations: Electrochemical concentration cell instrument artifacts? *Geophysical Research Letters*, 47, e2019GL086791, <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL086791</u>.